I			
	Case 1:20-cv-00182-JLT Document 1	.1 Filed 10/15/20	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	AMOS GAON,	Case No. 1:20-cv	7-00182-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER	
13	V.		
14	T. LAU, et al.,	21-DAY DEADLINE	
15	Defendants.		
16	On August 27, 2020, the Court issued a screening order directing Plaintiff, within 21 days,		
17	to file a second amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleading. (Doc. 10.) Although		
18	more than the allowed time has passed, Plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint or		
19	otherwise respond to the Court's order.		
20	The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide,		
21	"[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for		
22	the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court."		
23	Local Rule 110. "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets" and, in exercising		
24	that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Auth.,		
25	City of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a		
26	party's failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. See, e.g.,		
27	Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a		
28	court order to amend a complaint); Malone v.	U.S. Postal Service,	833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir.

1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules). Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause in writing, within 21 days of the date of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the Court's order. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file a second amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified in the Court's screening order (Doc. 10) or a notice of voluntary dismissal of this case. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed for failure to state a claim and to obey a court order. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston Dated: October 14, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-00182-JLT Document 11 Filed 10/15/20 Page 2 of 2